Dynamics of telomerase activity in response to acute psychological stress
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A B S T R A C T
Telomerase activity plays an essential role in cell survival, by lengthening telomeres and promoting cell growth and longevity. It is now possible to quantify the low levels of telomerase activity in human leukocytes. Low basal telomerase activity has been related to chronic stress in people and to chronic glucocorticoid exposure in vitro. Here we test whether leukocyte telomerase activity changes under acute psychological stress. We exposed 44 elderly women, including 22 high stress dementia caregivers and 22 matched low stress controls, to a brief laboratory psychological stressor, while examining changes in telomerase activity of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). At baseline, caregivers had lower telomerase activity levels than controls, but during stress telomerase activity increased similarly in both groups. Across the entire sample, subsequent telomerase activity increased by 18% one hour after the end of the stressor (p < 0.01). The increase in telomerase activity was independent of changes in numbers or percentages of monocytes, lymphocytes, and specific T cell types, although we cannot fully rule out some potential contribution from immune cell redistribution in the change in telomerase activity. Telomerase activity increases were associated with greater cortisol increases in response to the stressor. Lastly, psychological response to the tasks (greater threat perception) was also related to greater telomerase activity increases in controls. These findings uncover novel relationships of dynamic telomerase activity with exposure to an acute stressor, and with two classic aspects of the stress response – perceived psychosomatic stress and neuroendocrine (cortisol) responses to the stressor.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction
The successful maintenance of telomeres, the protective caps at the ends of chromosomes, is critical to human health. Normal telomere maintenance requires the cellular enzyme telomerase. Telomerase is a cellular ribonucleoprotein reverse transcriptase enzyme that adds telomeric DNA to shortened telomeres, thus extending telomere length and protecting the chromosomes. Shortened telomeres and lower telomerase are linked to age-related risk factors and disease (Aviv et al., 2006; Benetos et al., 2001; Brouilette et al., 2003; Gardner et al., 2005; Jeanclos et al., 2000; Nawrot et al., 2004; Buchkovich and Greider, 1996; Samani et al., 2001; Sampson et al., 2006; Valdes et al., 2005) and several studies report that short telomeres predict early mortality (Bakaysa et al., 2007; Cawthon et al., 2003; Honig et al., 2006; Kimura et al., 2008; Martin-Ruiz et al., 2006). These findings highlight the importance of understanding how telomerase regulates telomere length in vivo.

Several studies have now shown that chronic life stress is linked to shorter telomeres (Damjanovic et al., 2007; Epel et al., 2004; Parks et al., 2009), and has been related to both dampened telomerase activity (Epel et al., 2004) and, paradoxically, elevated telomerase activity (Damjanovic et al., 2007). The cause of these different findings on telomerase activity under stress is unclear. While telomeres are thought to change slowly over time, telomerase is a dynamic enzyme that can increase quickly, and it is thus possible to examine how acute stress may impact telomerase activity in an experimental setting. In addition to protecting telomeres, telomerase has telomere-independent roles, important to cell survival in the face of physiological stress, and it could potentially be affected by acute psychological stress as well. In this study, we addressed whether acute psychological stress impacts telomerase activity, and whether this differs in individuals with high and low levels of chronic stress. Telomerase activity is under multiple modes of dynamic control. For example, upon mitogenic stimulation of resting immune T lymphocytes, telomerase activity is upregulated at
least 18-fold three days later (Hatchcock et al., 2005). One study assessed the telomerase activity increase after antigen stimulation of B lymphocytes, and found it was elevated as early as 12 h later (Igarashi and Sakaguchi, 1997). After phytohemagglutinin stimulation, telomerase in T cells becomes elevated within 2 days, potentially in the G1 phase (when cells are preparing for DNA replication) of even the first cell cycle the ensuing cell cycles associated with clonal proliferation (Buchkovich and Greider, 1996). It is unclear if telomerase changed earlier than these time periods, since immediate changes were not studied.

Acute psychological stress can promote increases in cortisol, catecholamines, and oxidative stress (Gidron et al., 2006), factors that may regulate telomerase activity. For example, exposure to cortisol in vitro dampens telomerase activity three days later (Choi et al., 2008). UV irradiation of lens epithelial cells in vitro increases stress proteins as well as telomerase activity in a dose dependent fashion (Wu and Zhang, 2005), indicating that telomerase can change acutely. The greater the level of oxidative stress in cells in vitro, the greater the level of telomerase activity (Nishikawa et al., 2009). Under high oxidative stress (H2O2 exposure), telomerase translocates from the nucleus to the mitochondria and telomerase activity increases within 3 h (Saretzki, 2009). Although little is known about the physiological significance of this observation, it has been suggested that the telomerase response to oxidative stress may be cell-protective, rather than causing cell proliferation, at least in lens epithelial cells (Colitz et al., 2004). Little is known about the kinetics of telomerase regulation in vivo, and whether telomerase activity might upregulate in response to acute stress. While changes over days may be due in part to reduction in transcription of hTERT (Choi et al., 2008) it is possible that through rapid post-translational changes, telomerase activity can upregulate within minutes.

Acute psychological stress affects the immune system in complex ways (Segerstrom and Miller, 2004), including changing the distribution of cell types in the circulation vs. in tissue (F. Dhabhar and McEwen, 1997). During acute stress, immune cells mobilize into and then traffic out of the bloodstream (F. Dhabhar and McEwen, 1996; F. S. Dhabhar, 1998; Schedlowski et al., 1993). In contrast, chronic stress impairs such acute stress-induced immune cell redistribution, and suppresses and/or dysregulates immune function (Dhabhar and McEwen, 1997; Dhabhar, 2009; Dhabhar and McEwen, 2001). Given the known changes in immune cell composition in the blood during acute stress, such as the increases in lymphocytes and monocytes, and that telomerase activity levels differ depending on immune cell type (Lin et al., 2009), with B cells having the highest and CD8 cells having the lowest telomerase activity, it is possible that cell trafficking patterns might contribute to any observed changes in telomerase activity in circulating PBMCs during stress. Therefore, to investigate the sources of possible changes in telomerase activity during acute stress, it is important to concurrently measure changes in cell distribution during acute stress.

Our goal here was to test whether acute psychological stress affects PBMC telomerase activity levels, and whether this differs as a function of chronic stress exposure. We exposed healthy women who had high versus low levels of chronic stress to a standardized short-term laboratory stressor. We measured changes in their PBMC telomerase activity throughout the stressor, as well as two classic aspects of the human stress response: cortisol reactivity and psychological threat responses during the stressor. As described above, stress-induced redistributions of immune cells might cause changes in cell subsets present in peripheral blood during stress, which could impact the levels of telomerase activity as measured in the total PBMC population. Therefore, at each of the four blood draw points we also measured immune cell distributions using flow cytometry in a subset of 27 participants.

2. Methods

2.1. Recruitment

The sample was recruited in the San Francisco Bay Area, from the community and service centers for caregivers, such as support groups, neurology clinics, and adult daycare centers, as well as from notices in public places and electronic bulletin boards. The study requested healthy nonsmoking women. The caregivers were the primary caregiver for a husband or partner with dementia (typically Alzheimer’s disease or fronto-temporal-lobe dementia). They had to identify as the primary caregiver, providing at least 4 h of care a day.

The study was approved by the UCSF Human Subjects Committee. Each participant provided written informed consent. The sample is part of a larger sample of caregivers and controls that were enrolled at baseline, and completed health and psychological assessments. The sample that performed the laboratory stressor and had cells collected for telomerase activity includes 47 subjects in two groups: 24 caregivers and 23 controls. However, three participants had only baseline telomerase activity measured for the Trier Social Stress Test (one control and one caregiver declined to continue with the stress test tasks, and one caregiver reported getting a headache and so the session was ended). The other participants from the parent study did not have cells collected during the stress test, or in a few cases, did not sign up for the stress test for various reasons, including participant preference, as well as various health history reasons (poorly controlled hypertension, history of detached retina, and history of trauma exposure).

2.2. Eligibility criteria

Women had to be within the ages of 50–80, postmenopausal, without major or unstable medical conditions, endocrine disorders, or confounding medications (corticosteroid-containing). Antidepressant use was allowed in the caregiving group. Participants were screened to be healthy by self-report and then by a physical exam by the study physician (L.K.), as well as pass a chemistry screening for normal glucose, electrolytes, thyroid function, and liver and kidney function. To recruit low stress controls, potential controls were excluded if they scored above the national mean score (12) on the Perceived Stress Scale (Cohen et al., 1983). Conversely, caregivers were included only if they scored above the mean on perceived stress.

2.3. Procedures

Women who called or emailed their interest were then screened for eligibility criteria by telephone. They had a physical exam, fasting blood draw, and provided written consent at the UCSF CCRC (CTSI Clinical Research Center). They were scheduled to return on a separate afternoon a week later, for the stress test. On this day, they ate a standardized lunch provided by the CCRC metabolic kitchen, and had an intravenous forearm catheter inserted around 1:00 pm. Participants had a one hour resting baseline period, while listening to relaxing music using headphones, after catheter insertion. At the end of this baseline period (Time 0), the baseline blood draw was performed. A modified form of the Trier Social Stress Test (TSST) (Kirschbaum et al., 1993) was administered. Women were asked to perform a speech and math task. The phases of the stressor included four 5-min stressful periods (20 min total), including introduction to two trained stony-faced (expressionless) evaluators for description of the tasks, a quiet preparatory period for the speech, a speech (about strengths and weaknesses, rather than job interview, to fit the age group
which includes many retirees), and lastly, a math task (subtraction of consecutive prime numbers).

2.4. Saliva and blood sample collections

Blood draws for leukocytes were performed through the indwelling catheter at baseline, 20, 50, and 90 min after the onset of the stressor (70 min after the cessation of the stressor). After each blood draw, PBMCs were immediately separated using density gradient centrifugation (Ficoll) and cryopreserved in a liquid nitrogen tank until they were assayed. A separate blood sample for each blood draw was processed immediately, so that sample was left sitting longer than others. Lastly, one tube of fresh whole blood was same-day couriered to Stanford University (Dr. Dhabhar’s laboratory) for flow cytometric assessment of circulating leukocytes.

Saliva samples were collected via passive drool method, using IBL polypropylene saliva tubes at six time points throughout, for assessment of cortisol. Samples were collected at the following times in minutes: 0 (baseline), 15 (after the speech task), 20 (after the stressor ended), 30 (to capture cortisol peak), 50 (short term recovery), and 90 min (long term recovery, which is 70 min after the stressor ended, when cortisol is typically back to baseline levels) (Kirschbaum et al., 1993).

Saliva samples were kept on ice and frozen at the end of each session, and sent for batch assay to Dresden, Germany (laboratory of Clemens Kirschbaum). Salivary cortisol was assayed using a chemiluminescence immunoassay (CLIA). Intra-assay CV was 2.9% for high levels and 7.7% for low levels. The inter-assay CV of Clemens Kirschbaum). Salivary cortisol was assayed using a chemiluminescence immunoassay (CLIA). Intra-assay CV was 2.9% for high levels and 7.7% for low levels. The inter-assay CV lower limit was 0.16 ng/ml.

2.5. Physiological measures

2.5.1. Telomerase activity

Telomerase activity was assayed by the Telomerase Repeat Amplification Protocol (TRAP) using a commercial kit (TRAPeze, Telomerase Detection Kit, Upstate/CHEMICON, Temecula, CA). This assay measures telomerase enzymatic activity, not amount of telomerase (hTERT) protein. Cryopreserved PBMCs were thawed by incubating the tubes at 37 °C for 2 min and washed twice in 10 ml of cold DPBS (PBS without Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Cells were pelleted by centrifugation in a Sorvall Legend RT tabletop centrifuge (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and resuspended in 1 ml of DPBS. Live cells were counted with a hemocytometer (Bright-Line hemocytometer, Reichert, Buffalo, NY, USA) by use of Trypan blue (Invitrogen). One million live cells were pelleted and lysed with 1 × CHAPS [3-(3-cholamidopropyl) dimethylammonio-1-propanesulfonate] buffer as directed by the manual for the TRAPeze kit. For each PBMC sample, an extract corresponding to 5000 cells/µl was made and two concentrations, corresponding to 5,000 and 10,000 cells, were assayed for each sample to ensure the assay was in the linear range. The reaction was done according to the manual for TRAPeze kit and radioactive products fractionated by 10% polyacrylamide–8 M urea sequencing gel electrophoresis (National Diagnostics, Atlanta, GA, USA). The gel was exposed to a phosphorimager plate overnight and scanned on STORM 860 (GE Healthcare). As positive control standards, 293T human cancer cells were used and telomerase activity was expressed as the equivalent of number of 293T cells. Telomerase activity was quantified by use of ImageQuant 5.2 software (GE Healthcare) as published (Ornish et al., 2008).

2.5.2. Flow cytometry

Flow cytometry was used to quantify major leukocyte subpopulations in order to detect cell trafficking patterns, via direct staining of whole fresh blood. Whole blood from the same blood draw collection that was used for telomerase activity at each point, was collected into sodium heparin tubes, and maintained at room temperature until it was stained. White blood cell counts were obtained on a cell counter (Beckman Coulter, Miami, FL). Specific leukocyte subtypes were identified by staining with directly conjugated monoclonal antibodies followed by flow cytometric analyses (FACSCalibur, Becton–Dickinson, San Jose, CA). Briefly, whole blood was stained using antibodies conjugated with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC), phycoerythrin (PE), phycoerythrin-cyanine-5 (Pe-Cy5), or allophycocyanin (APC) (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA). The specific leukocyte subtypes were identified using the following antibody clones: CD3 (clone UCHT1), CD4 (clone RPA-T4), CD8 (clone RPA-T8), CD19 (clone HIB19), CD16 (Clone 3G8), and CD56 (clone B159). Cell suspensions were incubated with antibody for 20 min at room temperature, lysed with FACS Brand Lysing Solution (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA), resulting in simultaneous lysis of red blood cells and fixation of leukocytes. Cells were washed with PBS after lysis and read on the FACSCalibur with approximately 5000 events being acquired from each preparation. Forward versus side scatter characteristics were used to identify lymphocyte, monocyte and neutrophil subpopulations. Matched antibody isotype controls were used to set negative staining criteria. Data were analyzed using Cell Quest-pro software (Becton–Dickinson, San Jose, CA).

2.6. Psychological measures

2.6.1. Threat and challenge responses to the stressor

Psychological responses (threat and challenge appraisals) to the stressor were measured immediately before onset of the stressor and after the stressor ended.

We assessed threat appraisals, which measure the perception that demands of the situation outweigh personal resources, and challenge appraisals, which reflect perceptions that one can cope successfully. These appraisals are derived from coping theory (Folkman and Lazarus, 1985) based measures that predict cardiovascular reactivity (Tomaka, 1997). Ratings for cognitive threat measures ranged from −4 (strongly disagree) to +4 (strongly agree). The items for threat asked how much the upcoming tasks would be “very demanding,” and “very stressful,” and how much “the upcoming situation is very uncertain,” (Cronbach’s α = .83). Emotions reflecting threat included state ratings of how much the subject felt “anxious,” “fearful,” and “worried” (Cronbach’s α = .83). The cognitive and emotional threat subscales were converted into z scores and the mean was taken as a measure of both cognitive and emotional components of a threat response. The same questions were asked immediately post-stressor, in past tense, to assess retrospective evaluations of level of threat experienced.

Psychological profiles of challenge were measured in a similar way as threat. Cognitive challenge was measured with the items that assessed how much one feels they will be “able to complete the tasks successfully,” and “have control over how I do.” The subjects also rated how much they felt “I’m the kind of person who does well in these types of situations,” and “The evaluators will rate my performance well.” (Cronbach’s α = .83). Emotional challenge was assessed by ratings of how much they felt hopeful, confident, eager, and excited (Cronbach’s α = .81). Thus, the measures included one scale for challenge (cognitive and emotional), and one scale for threat (cognitive and emotional), and both were assessed pre- and post-stressor. We analyzed pre- and post- challenge and threat appraisals separately. Since people often feel both aspects of challenge and threat, we created a measure of threat relative to challenge, by subtracting the z score of challenge from the z score of threat. This measures the relative weighting of threat to challenge.
Perceived Stress over the past month was measured using the 10-item Cohen Perceived Stress Scale, which includes ratings of feeling overwhelmed, out of control, and stressed, over the last month, and has been extensively validated (Cohen and Williamson, 1988).

Depressive symptoms were assessed using the Inventory for Depressive Symptomatology–Self Rated (IDS-SR). The self-reported 10-item Cohen Perceived Stress Scale, which includes ratings of whether acute increases in telomerase are due to immune cell trafficking. To examine neutrophil changes. To examine magnitude of telomerase at 90 min, the distal post-stressor time point, and subtracting the trapezoidal area for baseline. We note that while AUC is a cumulative measure and not a reactivity measure per se, it can be important to include in cases when baseline (pre-stressor) levels of a parameter might be affected by anticipatory psychological stress. This is relevant in this case since, for controls, high threat at baseline was related to high baseline telomerase activity, described below (Table 3). We also examined magnitude of telomerase at 90 min, the distal post-stressor response, as a secondary measure of reactivity.

Groups were similar on age and BMI. To test group differences on the variables of interest (psychological factors, telomerase, cortisol, immune cell distributions) between caregivers vs. controls, we used t-tests. To test relationships between telomerase with psychological factors and cortisol we used Spearman rank order correlations, both across the sample and stratified by caregiving status.

As expected, caregivers had significantly higher levels of perceived life stress than controls (M = 19.1, SE = 1.1 vs. M = 9.9, SE = 1.3, t = -5.6, p < 0.0001) as well as higher levels of depressive symptoms (M = 17.5, SE = 1.8 vs. M = 9.0, SE = 1.3, t = 3.8, p < 0.0001).

We compared threat and challenge response profiles, both anticipatory (before task) and evaluative (post-task) responses to the stressor. Caregivers, compared to controls, reported significantly higher perceived threat in anticipation of the stressful tasks (caregivers: M = .20, SE = .13, vs. controls: M = -.52, SE = .18, p = .002), slightly lower challenge appraisals before the tasks (M = .02, SE = .19 vs. M = .34, SD = .18), and a greater threat to challenge difference score (M = .18, SE = .27 vs. M = -.90, SE = .31, p = .01). Groups were similar in threat and challenge appraisals after the tasks.

In the entire sample, there was a significant linear trend of increasing telomerase activity over time, F = 9.9, p = 0.003 (Figs. 1a and b, logged values), with a significant increase from baseline to 50 and 90 min after stress, p values <.02 (paired t-tests). We examined the trajectory of telomerase in response to the stressor by employing mixed modeling statistical designs. We fitted a restricted maximum likelihood to our mixed models and an unstructured covariance structure. To start, we modeled an unconditional model for telomerase to partition its variation. Results indicated statistically significant variation (p < .01) at both the within person and between person levels. Within and between person variation estimates were .14 (SE = .02) and .16 (SE = .04), respectively, indicating an intraclass correlation coefficient equal to .53. Thus, 53% of the variation in telomerase is a function of between-person effects. We next modeled time, and found that residual variation decreased to .13, a drop in approximately 8% in unexplained variation from the unconditional model. Across the sample, telomerase increased significantly over time (b = .18 for changes over one hour, SE = .05, p < .01). Because we used natural logged values, this estimate is directly translatable into percent change (18% change). As described below after taking into account redistribution (i.e., a shift in certain types of cells in the blood under acute stress), we used a mixed linear regression (with PASW 17.0), to evaluate telomerase over time using cell distribution as time varying correlates, as described in detail below.

3. Results

3.1. Sample description

One participant, a control, had telomerase activity values that were more than 4 SDs above the mean for the majority of samples (3 of the 4 samples), and was thus excluded from telomerase analyses. The final sample with complete telomerase measures, excluding this outlier as well as the 3 women with incomplete telomerase values, included 43 postmenopausal women, 22 who were caregivers and 21 controls. The women had a mean age of 62, ranging from 51 to 75 years. They were 84% Caucasian, 5% African American, and 11% Asian. Their mean body mass index (BMI) in kg/m² was 26.3 (SD 5.4), mean years of education was 15.7 (SD 1.9), and mean household income was $89 K (SD $54 K). There were no significant differences between caregivers and controls in BMI or the above demographics.

3.2. Psychological distress and psychological response to the laboratory stressor

As expected, caregivers had significantly higher levels of perceived life stress than controls (M = 19.1, SE = 1.1 vs. M = 9.9, SE = 1.3, t = -5.6, p < 0.0001) as well as higher levels of depressive symptoms (M = 17.5, SE = 1.8 vs. M = 9.0, SE = 1.3, t = 3.8, p < 0.0001).

We compared threat and challenge response profiles, both anticipatory (before task) and evaluative (post-task) responses to the stressor. Caregivers, compared to controls, reported significantly higher perceived threat in anticipation of the stressful tasks (caregivers: M = .20, SE = .13, vs. controls: M = -.52, SE = .18, p = .002), slightly lower challenge appraisals before the tasks (M = .02, SE = .19 vs. M = .34, SD = .18), and a greater threat to challenge difference score (M = .18, SE = .27 vs. M = -.90, SE = .31, p = .01). Groups were similar in threat and challenge appraisals after the tasks.

3.3. Telomerase responsivity during the laboratory stressor

In the entire sample, there was a significant linear trend of increasing telomerase activity over time, F = 9.9, p = 0.003 (Figs. 1a and b, logged values), with a significant increase from baseline to 50 and 90 min after stress, p values <.02 (paired t-tests). We examined the trajectory of telomerase in response to the stressor by employing mixed modeling statistical designs. We fitted a restricted maximum likelihood to our mixed models and an unstructured covariance structure. To start, we modeled an unconditional model for telomerase to partition its variation. Results indicated statistically significant variation (p < .01) at both the within person and between person levels. Within and between person variation estimates were .14 (SE = .02) and .16 (SE = .04), respectively, indicating an intraclass correlation coefficient equal to .53. Thus, 53% of the variation in telomerase is a function of between-person effects. We next modeled time, and found that residual variation decreased to .13, a drop in approximately 8% in unexplained variation from the unconditional model. Across the sample, telomerase increased significantly over time (b = .18 for changes over one hour, SE = .05, p < .01). Because we used natural logged values, this estimate is directly translatable into percent change (18% change). As described below after taking into account redistribution (i.e., a shift in certain types of cells in the blood under acute stress), we used a mixed linear regression (with PASW 17.0), to evaluate telomerase over time using cell distribution as time varying correlates, as described in detail below.
either total percentage or numbers of each cell subtype, this estimate appeared stable, varying narrowly from .17 to .21.

Caregivers, compared to controls, had marginally lower baseline telomerase activity \((p = .06\) and significantly lower mean telomerase activity across time points \((b = 1.56\) mean logged telomerase for caregivers, \(SE = .05\), and \(b = 1.76\) for controls, \(SE = .08, p < .01\). However, telomerase activity change over time was not a function of group. Although groups had different values on average, their slope over time was not significantly different, caregiver \(X\) time \(b = -.01, SE = .10, p = .94\). Therefore, group was not included in further analyses examining telomerase covarying immune cell redistribution.

### 3.4. Telomerase responsivity and immune cell redistribution

Cell frequencies were obtained in a subset of 27 participants (11 caregivers, 16 controls) to assess cell redistribution during stress. Redistribution patterns occurred as expected. For example, as shown in Fig. 3, lymphocytes increase immediately post-stress, and traffic out of the blood, whereas neutrophils show the opposite pattern, continuously increasing during recovery from stress. Next, we performed a series of models predicting telomerase activity at each time as a function of each immune cell type percent (see Table 1, Model A). This model simply assesses whether total PMBC telomerase activity is in part a function of certain cell subpopulation frequencies, as would be expected given that different cell types have different levels of telomerase activity [Lin et al., 2009]. It assesses associations between telomerase and cell % at each time point, controlling for the dependency occurring between data points within each person. In Model A, we present intercepts (mean level of telomerase) and then the percent that telomerase is altered as a function of cell type %. As can be seen in Table 1, Model A, 1% higher lymphocytes was related to a 2% lower level of telomerase activity. Conversely, 1% higher monocytes and neutrophils were related to a 2% and 2% higher telomerase activity, respectively. There were no relationships between CD4+, CD8+, or B cells with telomerase at each point. We note that neutrophils were not represented in the measured PBMC telomerase activity, so this relationship must be indirect.

Next, in Model B (Table 1), we examined telomerase reactivity over the 90 min, covarying each cell type % at each point, in order to rule out the possibility that telomerase changes over time were simply a function of immune cell type percentages (which can change due to mobilizing into and trafficking out of the blood system). As can be seen in the rows for “Time”, after covarying each cell type %, telomerase changes were more pronounced and significant, indicating a more complex relationship than previously suggested. We again note that neutrophils were not represented in the measured PBMC telomerase activity, and thus are disregarded in Table 1.

### Table 1

Hierarchical model relationships between telomerase and cell subtype percentages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Log transformed telomerase</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model A</td>
<td>Model B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intercept B (SE baseline telomerase)</td>
<td>Factor B (SE mean telomerase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4</td>
<td>1.80 (.10)</td>
<td>-.00 (.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>-.00 (-)</td>
<td>-.00 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8</td>
<td>1.88 (.16)</td>
<td>-.00 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>-.00 (-)</td>
<td>-.00 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B cells</td>
<td>1.60 (.12)</td>
<td>.02 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>-.00 (-)</td>
<td>-.00 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes (L)</td>
<td>2.40 (.20)</td>
<td>-.02 (.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>-.00 (-)</td>
<td>-.00 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>1.49 (.15)</td>
<td>.07 (.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>-.00 (-)</td>
<td>-.00 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils</td>
<td>.85 (.30)</td>
<td>.02 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>-.00 (-)</td>
<td>-.00 (-)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* Denotes \( p < .05 \).
cell type, telomerase activity still increased approximately 17% to 21% post-stressor.

Table 2 presents similar models; however, we used raw numbers of cell types instead of percent cell types. In the following models, one unit (which is 1000 cells per microliter) higher CD8+ cells is related to a 51% lower telomerase activity, which means that one standard deviation higher total CD8+ cells (220 cells/ microliter) is related to 11.2% lower telomerase. In contrast, one unit higher lymphocytes was related to 17% lower telomerase, which means one standard deviation higher number of lymphocytes (694 cells/μl) is related to 11.8% lower telomerase.

Finally, in Model B, we examined telomerase activity level as a function of time covarying numbers of cells in order to rule out the possibility that telomerase changes over time were simply a function of immune cell type numbers. As can be seen in the row “Time”, after covarying each cell type, telomerase activity still increased approximately 20–21% post-stressor. Lastly, to directly test whether subjects have cell type data or not. The interaction was not significant (b = .02, SE = .09, p = .83).

3.5. Confounds

In the final mixed model testing telomerase over time, we examined telomerase activity over time in response to stress, while covarying potential confounds, including age, BMI, physical activity level, alcohol use, education and β-blocker use (4 subjects vs. all others). In all analyses, telomerase activity significantly increased with time, even after covarying each confound individually. With each confound, telomerase activity significantly increased between 16% and 19% over time. A preferred model would consider all potential confounds together; however, due to our sample size, we covaried each individually.

3.6. Relations between appraisals of the stressor and telomerase responses

Across the sample, greater telomerase AUC was only marginally associated with perceptions after the stressor – lower challenge appraisals (r = −.27, p = .06) and greater threat–to-challenge appraisal difference scores (r = .29, p = .06), and not related to threat appraisals (r = .23, ns). These relationships appeared to be due to the controls, who showed a consistent pattern between appraisals and indices of telomerase output and reactivity; most notably, greater threat after the stressor with higher telomerase increase at 90 min (see Table 1). In caregivers alone, no relationships of appraisals (either pre- or post-stressor) with telomerase reached statistical significance.

As shown in Table 3, for controls, there was a pattern of relationships across the measures of appraisal and telomerase. Feeling greater relative threat-to-challenge pre-stressor (at baseline) was significantly associated with higher baseline telomerase activity. After the stressor, greater threat-to-challenge appraisals were related to higher telomerase at 90 min, and also to telomerase AUC. Feeling threatened post-stressor was also significantly related to indices of telomerase responsivity (higher telomerase at 90 min and higher telomerase responsivity increase from baseline to 90 min).

3.7. Relations between cortisol responses and telomerase responses to the stressor

Across the sample, salivary cortisol increased by 16% from baseline to 15 min into the stressor (early reactivity), and by 73% 30 min after onset of stressor, the time of peak cortisol response. Caregivers and controls had similar baseline cortisol. The caregiving group had early cortisol reactivity, with a mean percent change of 33.4%, compared to no increase (−0.55%) in controls (T = 2.4, p = .03). There were no significant group differences in peak (30 min) cortisol reactivity. The change scores of cortisol from baseline are shown in Fig. 2, by group.

We then examined correlations between cortisol reactivity both early (15 min) and at peak (30 min) with telomerase measures. Across the sample, cortisol at baseline was not related to telomerase at baseline (r = −.07). Telomerase activity at 90 min (but not change in telomerase at 90 min) was significantly associated with both early cortisol reactivity (r = .40, p = .02) and peak cortisol reactivity (r = .33, p = .05) across the sample. Total telomerase (AUC) but not rAUC was also related to early cortisol reactivity (r = .25, p < .05) and marginally related to peak cortisol reactivity (r = .31, p = .07).

4. Discussion

In this study, we examined whether leukocyte telomerase activity changes in response to acute psychological stress, and whether it is related to being a stressed caregiver, or to responding in the moment to the stressor with greater perceived threat or cortisol reactivity. We found that in response to the Trier Social Stress Test telomerase activity increased by around 18%. Further, telomerase activity increased more in those reacting with greater cortisol, and among controls, in those feeling more threatened. This is the first demonstration that telomerase activity levels can change acutely in vivo within short periods. We term this phenomenon...
Telomerase offers cell protection especially in the context of short telomeres (Zhu et al., 1999). In human fibroblasts, the telomerase core protein, hTERT, protects DNA (by telomere lengthening) from radiation and oxidative stress, but only in cells with short telomeres (Rubio et al., 2004). Basic research on telomerase has shown it also has other roles in determining cellular programs and properties besides elongating telomeres (Hathcock et al., 2005).

Telomerase offers cell protection especially in the context of short telomeres (Zhu et al., 1999). In human fibroblasts, the telomerase core protein, hTERT, protects DNA (by telomere lengthening) from radiation and oxidative stress, but only in cells with short telomeres (Rubio et al., 2004). Basic research on telomerase has shown it also has other roles in determining cellular programs and properties besides elongating telomeres (Hathcock et al., 2005; Park et al., 2009). These roles may also be relevant to the responses to stress we report here.

In an in vitro study, by exposing immune cells to higher-than-physiological levels of glucocorticoid, Choi et al. (Choi et al., 2008) found that such continuous cortisol exposure of PBMCs was associated with decreased PBMC telomerase activity. On the surface, these findings appear to be in opposition with our in vivo findings, but it is difficult to compare in vivo findings with these in vitro findings. Supraphysiological levels of glucocorticoids tend to have a suppressive rather than stimulatory effect on immune function (Dhabhar, 2009). Indeed, our results suggest that the lower concentrations of cortisol relevant to mild stress in humans (typically very short term, often only two fold increases) and the ensuing stress responsive mediators may have a stimulatory effect rather than a suppressive effect on telomerase activity. Further, there are often curvilinear relationships between cortisol exposure and defense mechanisms and regulatory systems. As described in detail elsewhere, low levels of cortisol have facilitative or permissive effects whereas high levels of cortisol can have suppressive effects on various systems including aspects of immune function (Sapolsky et al., 2000).

Choi et al. reported that physiological levels (around 1 uM) of cortisol when applied in vitro did not affect PBMC telomerase. However, their study is not comparable to the current study in several important ways. They did not examine acute effects one hour after cortisol exposure as we did for our in vivo mild acute stress session, as they studied only a much longer time frame of 3 days. They used supraphysiological levels, up to 30 uM, which are much higher than expected during mild stress in humans. They also found that such high levels of cortisol had different effects depending on cell type (more suppressive in CD8+ cells than in CD4+ cells). Hence telomerase responsivity may depend on duration and dose of cortisol as well as type of cells, at least in vitro. Their longer term effect appeared to be mediated by reduced transcription of hTERT.

4.1. Telomerase responsivity and psychological stress

We also examined whether women under chronic stress (being a dementia patient caregiver who reported high perceived stress) had a different response compared to low stress controls. Indeed, the caregivers had lower telomerase activity at baseline, but their slope of increase following the stressor was not significantly different than that of controls. For controls, who were lower in life stress, feeling threatened (e.g., anxious, stressed), and feeling greater threat relative to feeling positively challenged (e.g., eager, enthusiastic), were related to stress induced increases in telomerase activity. It is unclear why, in caregivers, acute appraisals were not similarly related to telomerase responsivity.

4.2. Baseline telomerase and threat appraisals

It was particularly notable that appraisals at baseline, before the stressor onset, were associated with telomerase activity at that time, during the baseline blood draw. This suggests that the higher telomerase activity at the baseline time point may be attributable at least in part to anticipation of completing the stressful tasks. This finding was limited to controls, who also showed relations between threat during the stressor and changes in telomerase activity. Hence, in the controls the baseline telomerase measure may already be reflecting stress-induced elevations. It is striking that, even despite this possibility, greater feelings of threat (and threat-to-challenge) after the stressor were still related to higher telomerase increase from baseline to 90 min. Together, these findings that telomerase activity increases in association with perceived threat and increases in cortisol suggest that telomerase activity may be stress-reactive. These results imply that research that aims to measure basal telomerase should have a long resting period before measures are taken, as with any stress hormone.
4.3. Telomerase reactivity and immune trafficking

This study examined the dynamics of telomerase levels using total PBMCs. Therefore, we tested whether shifts in the distributions of cell subtypes that differ in their telomerase activity levels might underlie or at least help explain the observed responsive reactivity response. As one might expect, given the varying levels of telomerase per cell type (Lin et al., 2009) the percentages of cell subtypes present can influence the overall measure of telomerase activity in PBMCs. We found greater percentages of monocytes were related to greater telomerase, whereas greater percentages of lymphocytes were related to lower telomerase. Greater numbers of CD8+ cells were related to lower telomerase, a finding which might reflect greater CD8+ cell senescence. Thus, composition of immune cell types clearly influences overall PBMC telomerase activity, which is important to consider whenever interpreting findings across cell types. Despite these relationships, the responsiveness of PBMC telomerase activity during and following acute stress existed even after coexisting blood cell composition in that time period, leaving open the possibility that telomerase reactivity is caused by dynamic changes in the regulation of telomerase enzymatic activity levels in response to psychological stress.

Although our results suggest that total PBMC telomerase activity increases independently of cell distribution, it is not possible to determine from this study whether telomerase activity increases on a per cell basis, or whether the increase is mediated by stress-induced changes in immune cell distribution. Future studies examining telomerase activity within individual cell types rather than in pooled PBMCs should address this possibility. It is also possible that cells lost during purification process of PBMCs for telomerase measurement may weaken any correlation between telomerase enzyme activity and whole blood flow cytometric enumeration of cell types. Overall, the changes in telomerase activity that were observed are likely to be mediated by a combination of stress-induced changes in the distribution of immune cells, as well as changes in telomerase activity on a per cell basis.

5. Limitations and conclusions

Strengths of this study are careful measurement of telomerase activity over time with a highly controlled standardized protocol and study sample, concurrently with psychological, hormonal, and immune cell responses during acute stress. This has revealed novel relationships. However, the study is limited by its sample size and by the fact that immune cell redistribution was measured on only a subsample of 27. It is also a limitation that we did not have a control group with no stress exposure, to test for catheter insertion, time of day, and other non-specific factors. It will be informative to have such a control group in future studies, as well as test mediation by the sympathetic nervous system. Given that stress parameters (threat appraisals and changes in cortisol) were correlated with changes in telomerase activity, it is unlikely that the changes in telomerase activity were due to time of day, especially since our data suggest little change across the day (unpublished results).

Despite the above limitations, the study advances the understanding of the relationship between acute stress and cell aging in vivo, terms of the telomerase-mediated telomere length maintenance system. Although telomerase is an intracellular ribonucleoprotein enzyme that does not communicate from cell to cell, like stress-reactive hormones, telomerase appears dynamic and responsive to stress, which could have major implications for both research and clinical applications.
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