1. *OldGermansSave*. As in OldGermans, there are 243 German workers who inelastically supply labor, but now they save 100 beers (in total) for the future and spend the rest of their income on beer consumption. These workers own the German beer firms which have aggregate production function \( f(L) = \frac{54}{4} L^{4/5} \). The German beer firms have aggregate investment demand of \( I = \frac{1200}{r} \), where \( r \) is the real interest rate.

(a) Find the equilibrium real wage in the labor market and graph the labor market. Verify that there is also equilibrium in the beer market and graph the production function. (This just repeats OldGermans parts (a) and (b)).

(b) Graph the capital market. What is the equilibrium real interest rate?

(c) What happens if Germans become more pessimistic and start saving 110 beers?

(d) Go back to just 100 beers saved. What happens if the German government levies taxes of 60 beers but German Chancellor Angela Merkel drinks 80 beers?

2. *Botswana*. In his book *Globalization and its Discontents*, pg. 38, Joseph Stiglitz criticized the IMF’s policy toward Botswana in 1981. He uses this as one example of a larger critique of the so-called “Washington Consensus” policy toward developing nations. This problem uses approximately accurate data to analyze the situation.

First, let’s normalize Botswana’s working population to 100, and let labor supply be \( L^s = 100 \). Let Botswana have an economy-wide
production function

\[ Y = f(L) = 117.5L^{1/2} \]

and assume the firms represented by this function are owned by the workers. Set the price of \( Y \) equal to 1, and note that with the given production function, GDP at full employment is 1,175 million US dollars.

(a) Find the equilibrium real wage in Botswana's labor market and graph the labor market. Graph the production function.

(b) Now let's examine the capital market in 1980. Private Botswanans were saving 5% of GDP (assume perfectly inelastic with respect to the real interest rate). The government was spending 33% of GDP and collecting taxes of 34% of GDP. Firms' investment demand function was \( I = 728 - 3520r \). Graph the domestic capital market and show the equilibrium real interest rate.

(c) Actually, Botswana's real interest rate in 1980 was 10%, lower than what you found above. This was because Botswana could borrow in the world capital market. Redraw your capital market graph to show this lower real interest rate. How much investment took place in Botswana? How large were foreign capital inflows?

(d) Recall that total income from wages plus dividends has to equal consumption plus savings plus taxes. Find this for Botswana in 1980. Then recall that total output has to equal consumption plus investment plus government plus net exports. Find this for Botswana in 1980. Note: you just found consumption; investment and government were given in part (b) and (c); net exports is the residual that makes total income equal to total output.
(e) Botswana faced two negative shocks in 1981 due to drought and problems in the diamond industry. We’ll model this by saying that the production function changed for the worse to $Y = f(L) = 103.8L^{1/2}$. Show the new real wage and the new real GDP. The government of Botswana continued to collect taxes of 34% of $Y'$. The IMF advised Botswana to cut government spending, but instead it spent 36% of $Y'$. Private saving was 5% of $Y'$ and investment was the same as above. Graph what happened in the capital market assuming the world real interest rate remained at 10%.

3. AIG. AIG is the world’s largest insurance company, and it got into deep financial trouble in the 2008 financial crisis. As of September 30, 2008, AIG’s simplified balance sheet looked approximately like this (all figures in billions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Liabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$400 financial securities</td>
<td>$913 general liabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$622 other assets</td>
<td>$38 government loan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1022</td>
<td>$71 net worth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) By late fall 2008, things had gotten worse. It turned out that $50 of the other assets are distressed, and could no longer be counted as assets. Rewrite the balance sheet, and find the new net worth.

(b) Things got worse still. There was been a decline of 10% in the financial securities. Also, AIG had a new liability of $35 in credit default swaps. Again rewrite the balance sheet and show the net worth.

(c) Finally, the government announced a bailout plan. One thing the government did was buy the $50 in distressed assets at
their full face value by giving AIG $50 in cash. Show how this changed the balance sheet.

(d) The other terms of the government plan were to lend AIG $60 (a new liability). AIG took this cash and paid off the credit default swap liability. Separately, the government bought $40 in shares in the company (again, giving AIG cash). How does this change the balance sheet?

Review Problems only, not to turn in:

4. **Fear-goods.** This problem shows how in the neoclassical long-run macro model, widespread fear across an economy will not cause a recession! This is an important and comforting insight for the long run, but on the other hand, in the long run we are all dead...

Suppose the production function for the one representative firm in the economy is \( Y = f(L) = 20L^{4/5} \). There are \( L = 40 \) workers who inelastically supply labor.

(a) Show that the labor demand curve is \( L(w) = (16/w)^{5/2} \), graph the labor market, and show the equilibrium real wage.

(b) Verify the national income accounts identity, i.e. that income from wages and dividends (which equals consumption) equals output (all of which is also consumption).

(c) Now suppose that people in this country hear about the financial crisis. Everyone becomes very fearful of the future. The firm shifts down its labor demand curve to \( L(w) = (8/w)^{5/2} \) – even though this is not profit maximizing because the production function remains unchanged. Assuming the labor market still clears, what happens to the wage, income from wages, income from dividends, and output?
5. *LittleT.* A bank has deposits of $50 million, loans of $52 million, and complies with a 10% reserve requirement. Assuming this bank is properly run, write its T-account.

6. SW25.2 Down Home Savings Bank has the following assets and liabilities: $6 million in government bonds and reserves, $40 million in deposits, $36 million in outstanding loans. Draw up the balance sheet for the bank. What is its net worth?

7. SW25.3 While gardening in his backyard, Bob finds a jar containing $100,000 in cash. He deposits the money in his bank, where the reserve requirement is 5%. Show the relevant changes on the bank’s balance sheet. How much will the money supply eventually increase due to Bob’s deposit? How would your answer be different if Bob only deposited $95,000, keeping $5,000 in cash to himself?

**Answers to Review Problems:**

4. *Fear-goods_a.*

(a) Firms maximize profits by setting the marginal product of labor equal to the wage:

\[
 f'(L) = w \Rightarrow \frac{4}{5} 20L^{-1/5} = w \Rightarrow L^{-1/5} = \frac{w}{16} \Rightarrow L(w) = \left( \frac{16}{w} \right)^5
\]

![Graph of L(w) vs. L]
(b) Since the labor market clears, employment is 40, and output is \( Y = f(40) = 382.5 \).

Income is equal to wages plus dividends. Wages are \( wL = 7.65 \cdot 40 = 306 \). To find dividends, we need to find the profits of the firm:

\[
\Pi = pq - wL = 1 \cdot 382.5 - 306 = 76.5
\]

So total income is \( 306 + 76.5 = 382.5 \) which does indeed equal output.

(c) The labor market now equilibrates off the new, irrational labor demand curve, so

\[
\left( \frac{8}{w} \right)^5 = 40 \Rightarrow w = 3.83
\]

Not surprising, workers’ wages fall because the firms’ collective fear has essentially the same effect as if they all colluded to reduce wages. But since this is a neoclassical model, the labor market does still clear, and all 40 workers are still employed. That means that output is still \( Y = f(40) = 382.5 \).

It remains to be seen if there is really income to pay for this output. Total wages are now only \( 3.83 \cdot 40 = 153.2 \). But firm profits now rise (due to the lower labor costs) to \( 1 \cdot 382.5 - 153.2 = 229.3 \). Therefore, dividends go up a lot, and total income is still \( 153.2 + 229.3 = 382.5 \), exactly enough to equal output.

5. LitteT_a. The T-account is:

6. SW25.2_a The balance sheet is:

7. SW25.3_a. We know that the amount of currency is unchanged; Bob has less currency but the bank has more in vault cash (note that when the money was underground, it was still in the money
supply; that is, it was still currency outstanding – it was just underground.) To find deposits, we can use the fact that required reserves must be 5% of deposits. When Bob deposits his $100,000, the bank makes an entry on the liability side of its balance sheet representing the deposit. There must be a matching entry of $100,000 on the asset side. This entry is made in the bank’s reserves. At this point, the bank is holding excess reserves since there was an entry of $100,000 but the bank is only required to hold 5% of Bob’s deposit, or $5,000. The bank can lend out the rest. When banks lend they create further deposits, and we can determine the total change in deposits after the money multiplier goes to work within the banking system. Use the formula new reserves/0.05 = total increase in money supply, thus $\frac{100,000}{0.05} = 2,000,000$.

If Bob only deposits $95,000, then the original change in reserves is $95,000 not $100,000. From the above formula $\frac{95,000}{0.05} = 1,900,000$. But if Bob spends the $5,000 in cash, then the person or firm he gives it to may put it in their bank account, and then the money multiplier will start to work anyway.